

No. ID/FD/41/81/25793.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Arora Tex-Dyes Corporation, Plot No. 108, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under sections 7-A of the said Act, the matter (s) specified below, being either matter (s) in dispute or matter (s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus @ 20% for the year 1980-81? If so, with what details?

H. L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government,
Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments

The 1st June, 1982

No. ID/YMN/68/82/24710.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Moti Ram and the management of M/s Bala Ji Metal Industries Near Northern Rly. Out Agency, Rly Road, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted, *vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Moti Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled:—

No. ID/KNL/6/82/24706.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Miss Saroj Gupta and the management of M/s The Karnal Central Cooperative Bank Ltd. Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Miss Saroj Gupta was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/24/81/24712.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Brij Lal and the management of the M/s Vishal Metal Industries, Durga, Garden, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted, *vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Brij Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?